

Effect of Pre and Post Emergence Herbicides to Weeds Control in Corn Field

Mohammad Hassan Baniasadi

Jobholder of Agriculture, Bank Management of Fars province

Abstract— The aim of this comparative study was evaluation of pre and post emergence herbicides Effect to control weeds in corn field. Experiment was conducted as a randomized complete block design with three replications. The treatments included: no weed control, weed, complete mechanical control, Nicosulfuron herbicides (two liters per hectare) as pre- and Erradicane 4 liters per hectare as post emergence. Some properties were studied such as the dry weight of weed species, plant height, seed number on the cob, seed yield, 1000seed weight, biological yield. Totally, result showed that application of herbicide led to reduction of damages caused by weeds, also, it was determined that using of Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane had highest effect on weed control in compare to Nicosulfuron or Erradicane application. application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 45, 38, 58 and 84% seed yield increasing in compare to no weed control.

Keywords— Corn, Herbicides, Weeds.

I. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) being one of the most important cereals of the world and has attained a commercial crop status and has scope to increase the present maize yields. Selecting a preemergence (PRE) and postemergence (POST) herbicide program that has the greatest efficacy can be difficult for corn producers and is highly dependent on weed spectrum (Stewart *et al.*, 2012). Management of weeds is considered to be an important factor for achieving higher productivity. Due to increased cost and non-availability of manual labour in required quantity timely for hand weeding, role of herbicide is significant preposition herbicides not only control the weeds timely and effectively but also offer great scope for minimizing the cost of weed control irrespective of situation. Use of pre and post-emergence application of herbicides would make herbicidal weed control more acceptable to farmers which will not change the existing agronomic practices but will allow for complete control of weeds (Gower *et al.*, 2002). Usage of pre-emergence herbicides assumes greater importance in the

view of their effectiveness from initial stages. Pre-emergent application of herbicides will control the weeds up to 25 days and after that post emergent application is given so that further growth of weeds can also be controlled. Pre-emergence and post emergence herbicides will be an ideal means for controlling the weeds in view of economics and effectiveness in maize (Haji *et al.*, 2012). The aim of this comparative study was evaluation of pre and post emergence herbicides Effect to control weeds in corn field.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiment was conducted as a randomized complete block design with three replications. The treatments included: no weed control, weed, complete mechanical control, Nicosulfuron herbicides (two liters per hectare) as pre- and Erradicane 4 liters per hectare as post emergence. 15 plots were used as experimental units with 6 cultivate lines and 5m length, 75cm placed between rows and between plots. Also 3 m was considered between blocks. S.C704 cultivar used at our study. Some properties were studied such as the dry weight of weed species, plant height, seed number on the cob, seed yield, 1000seed weight, biological yield. SAS statistical software was performed for analysis and by Duncan's multiple range test used at the level of 5% for mean comparisons.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Dry weight of weed species: The results showed that the treatments led to decreasing in dry weight of weed, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 50, 42, 92 and 97% decreasing of weed dry weight in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane application led to 85 and 87% decreasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. Singh *et al.*, (2001) reported that while the weed management methods significantly reduced the intensity of weeds and dry matter, two manual weeding at 25 and 45 days after sowing were found the most effective in reducing the intensity and dry matter accumulation of weeds

over the other methods of the weed control. Fayed *et al.*, (1983), who reported that application of herbicide significantly decreased the fresh weight of total winter weeds in comparison to unweeded treatments.

Plant height: The results showed that the treatments led to an increase in plant height, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 12, 9, 23 and 34% plant height increasing in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane application led to 9 and 12% increasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. It is well known that weeds interfere with crop plants causing serious impacts either in the competition for light, water, nutrients and space or in the allelopathy (Heap, 2014).

Seed number on the cob: The results showed that the treatments led to an increase in seed number, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 33, 31, 36 and 41% seed number increasing in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane application led to 2 and 3% increasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. Faster growth of weeds is disadvantageous for light and hence photosynthesis needed for plants (Williams *et al.*, 2010) through this light deprivation less energy is available to crop plant for metabolic production and hence growth, yield and its quality of crops will be reduced. In addition, weeds with branched, vigorous root systems inhibit the development of crops through severe nutrition deprivation (Isik *et al.*, 2006).

1000seed weight: The results showed that the treatments led to an increase in 1000seed weight, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 25, 20, 33 and 49% 1000seed weight increasing in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane

application led to 6 and 10% increasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. Martin *et al.*, (2001) concluded that the effect of crop competition on weed growth resulted in a conservative estimate of the critical period of weed control.

Seed yield: The results showed that the treatments led to an increase in seed yield, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 45, 38, 58 and 84% seed yield increasing in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane application led to 9 and 14% increasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. Whytok *et al.* (1995) stated that the highest cost of weed control in relation to the often small effects of weed competition on yield suggest that herbicides are a good target for reducing the cost of inputs in crops, Similar observation was also reported by Tiwari and Kurchania, (1993).

Biological yield: The results showed that the treatments led to an increase in biological yield, application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 36, 27, 50 and 62% biological yield increasing in compare to no weed control. Also results showed that Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane application led to 9 and 18% increasing in compare to Nicosulfuron and Erradicane, respectively. These results are in line with those obtained by Chauhan *et al.*, (2005), Saudy, (2004), Sharma and Jain, (2002) and Sharma *et al.*, (2002).

Totally, result showed that application of herbicide led to reduction of damages caused by weeds, also, it was determined that using of Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane had highest effect on weed control in compare to Nicosulfuron or Erradicane application. application of Nicosulfuron, Erradicane, Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane and complete mechanical control showed 45, 38, 58 and 84% seed yield increasing in compare to no weed control.

Table.1: Means Comparison in Response to Treatments

	Dry weight of weed (g/plot)	Plant height (m)	Seed number on the cob	1000seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Biological yield (kg/ha)
No weed control	180 a	1.80 d	600 d	124 e	7440 e	17325 e
Nicosulfuron	90 c	1.90 c	700 c	140 c	9800 c	22034 c
Erradicane	105 b	1.85 cd	690 c	135 d	9315 d	20354 d
Nicosulfuron+ Erradicane	15 d	2.10 b	721 b	150 b	10815 b	24348 b
Complete mechanical control	5 e	2.30 a	750.4 a	170 a	12756 a	26400 a

REFERENCES

- [1] Chauhan, Y.S., M.K. Bhargava and V.K. Jain, 2005. Weed management in Indian mustard(*Brassica juncea*). Indian J. Agron., 50(2): 149-151.
- [2] Fayed, M.T., M.T. Mostafa and E.E. Hassanein, 1983. Increasing the efficiency of herbicides in controlling cotton weeds by one light hoeing. Proc. 1st Conf. Agron., Egypt, Soc., of Crop Sci., 2: 679-688.
- [3] Gower, S.A., Loux, M.M., Cardina, J. and Harrison, S.K., 2002. Effect of Planting Date, Residual Herbicide, and Postemergence Application Timing on Weed Control and Grain Yield in Glyphosate-Tolerant Corn (*Zea mays*) 1. Weed Technology, 16(3), pp.488-494.
- [4] Haji, I.D., Hunshal, C., Malligwad, L., Basavaraj, B. and Chimmad, V., 2012. Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on weed control in maize (*Zea mays*. L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 25(3).
- [5] Heap, I., 2014. Herbicide resistant weeds. In Integrated Pest Management (pp. 281-301). Springer Netherlands.
- [6] Isik, D., Mennan, H., Bukan, B., Oz, A. and Ngouajio, M., 2006. The Critical Period for Weed Control in Corn in Turkey 1. Weed technology, 20(4), pp.867-872.
- [7] Martin, S.G., L.F. Friesen and R.C. Van Acker, 2001. Critical period of weed control in spring canola. Weed Sci., 49: 326-333.
- [8] Saudy, H.S.S., 2004. Effect of weed management and nitrogen fertilization on canola crop and associated weeds. Ph.D. Sci., Thesis Faculty of Agric., AinShams Univ., Egypt.
- [9] Sharma, O.L. and N.K. Jain, 2002. Effect of herbicides on weed dynamics and seed yield of Indian mustard. Indian J. Agric., Sci., 72:322-324.
- [10] Sharma, R.P., P. Singh and P.L. Maliwal, 2002. Effect of weed management and phosphorus applied to Indian mustard. Indian J. Agric., Sci., 72(8): 461-463.
- [11] Singh, H., B.P. Singh and H. Prasad, 2001. Weedmanagement in *Brassica* species. Indian J. Agron., 46(3): 533-537.
- [12] Stewart, C.L., Soltani, N., Nurse, R.E., Hamill, A.S. and Sikkema, P.H., 2012. Precipitation influences pre- and post-emergence herbicide efficacy in corn. American Journal of Plant Sciences, 3(09), p.1193.
- [13] Tiwari, J.P. and S.P. Kurchania, 1993. Chemical control of weeds in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian J. Agric. Sci., 63(5): 272-275.
- [14] Whytok, G.P., I.J. Bingham and R.E.L. Naylor, 1995. Developing cost-effective strategies for weed control in winter oilseed rape. Proc. Brighton Crop Prot. Conf. Weeds, 3: 883-888.
- [15] Williams, M.M., Boerboom, C.M. and Rabaey, T.L., 2010. Significance of atrazine in sweet corn weed management systems. Weed Technology, 24(2), pp.139-142.